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Abstract

Intelligent vehicles are considered key enablers for intelligent transportation systems. They are equipped with resources/components to enable services for vehicle occupants, other vehicles on the road, and third-party recipients. In-vehicle sensors, communication modules, and on-board units with computing and storage capabilities allow the intelligent vehicle to work as a mobile service provider of sensing, data storage, computing, cloud, data relaying, infotainment, and localization services. In this article we introduce the concept of Vehicle as a Resource and shed light on the services a vehicle can potentially provide on the road or parked. We anticipate that an intelligent vehicle can be a significant service provider in a variety of situations, including emergency scenarios.
Vehicle as a Resource (VaaR)

**VaaR-Sensing**

According to the automotive sensors market growth in North America, the average number of sensors per vehicle reached 70 in 2013 [1], with as many as 100 in some luxury vehicles. A vehicle can then be considered a significant resource of sensor data. We categorize sensors according to their application domain: safety, diagnostics, convenience, and environment monitoring, as shown in Fig. 2. Safety sensors are the most crucial, being targeted at decreasing accidents and driving fatalities. Diagnostics sensors provide on-board detection of component malfunction to avoid further breakdowns or damage. In addition to on-board services, the system can include a reporting capability to support remote diagnostics. Convenience sensors support comfort and convenience applications for drivers and passengers. Most of these sensors are deployed inside the vehicle compartment to provide direct services for its occupants, while others are deployed to provide driving assistance. Finally, environment monitoring sensors provide alerts/warnings about road hazards or reports about traffic, road, and weather conditions.

Having a variety of sensors along with communication capabilities shapes the concept of VaaR-Sensing. Many applications and platforms have been proposed to make use of vehicles as sensing resources, mainly for environment monitoring as their measured data can be of benefit beyond a vehicle’s compartment. In these applications, vehicles sense/monitor the surrounding environment and store the sensed data for further relaying, either without processing or after processing to search for data of interest. Such data can be reported to third parties through the Internet or V2X (Vehicle-to-Any) communications. These third parties can be data centers that can offer the data for public/commercial services, or they can be other mobile users/drivers. Such sensing services can expedite the adoption of public sensing in its participatory and opportunistic forms.

An example of a vehicular sensing platform is the MobEyes platform [2], which utilizes vehicles as mobile sensors to monitor surroundings, recognize objects, store data, and advertise this data for potential sharing. The MobEyes platform uses V2V communication. Other platforms are Internet-based, where vehicles collect and process sensor data locally then send the processed data to database servers through the Internet for further analysis and publishing. Examples of such platforms are discussed in [3].

Currently much attention is focused on utilizing in-vehicle sensors for providing road condition monitoring services. Many techniques have been proposed from using accelerometers to the use of cameras for capturing photos and videos that are further analyzed to extract road features. A prominent road monitoring platform is CarMote [4].

**VaaR-Data Storage and Computing**

Data storage and computing are tightly coupled as both services are offered through the on-board computers in intelligent vehicles. Advanced in-vehicle computers are currently available, some almost as powerful as personal computers, such as those in [5] with dual core processors up to 2.8 GHz and storage capabilities in Gigabytes. Due to advances in data storage technologies, it is anticipated that in-vehicle storage capacity will reach multiples of Terabytes in the future, enabling the vehicle to act as a mobile data server.

With such powerful computing resources, it is foreseen that computing tasks would be offloaded to vehicles. Vehicles on a road or a parking lot can be considered a distributed system that can potentially manage computing tasks more efficiently and cost-effectively than a centralized computing center.

Many platforms have been proposed to utilize vehicles as a resource for data storage for further diffusion or retrieval of the data by other agents. Vehicle-generated data or data obtained from neighboring vehicles can be stored until the vehicle reaches a dedicated data collector or kept in the vehi-
As an example of VaaR-Data Relaying, Delay-Bounded Vehicular Data Gathering (DB-VDG) [9] is a solution that supports geographical data gathering services and depends heavily on vehicles as data relays. In DB-VDG, queries can be sent to retrieve information from areas of interest and replies can be routed back from vehicles in these areas. Both queries and replies are delivered via intermediate vehicles that act as relays. DB-VDG can also be considered an example of VaaR-Sensing as the gathered data is acquired from the in-vehicle sensors.

Vehicles can be good candidates for commercial advertisements that utilize vehicular mobility to disseminate the ads through vehicular relays. These commercial advertisements can be pushed to a vehicle either through the Internet or by Adstations. Examples include store special offers or restaurant menus.

VaaR-Data Relaying can also be a technique for extending network coverage, as presented in [10]. The authors propose a vehicle-to-vehicle relay (V2VR) scheme to extend a road side access point (AP) service range and allow drive-thru vehicles to have an extended coverage range. In this scheme, when a vehicle approaches an AP, it selects a vehicle ahead to work as a relay of the AP traffic and allow early access to the AP services. When a vehicle is about to leave the direct AP coverage range, it selects another vehicle behind to work as a relay for the AP traffic and extend the access time while maintaining high throughput.

In addition to relaying data to/from other vehicles and RSUs, vehicles can act as data mules and mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks to assist in their operations. VaaR-Data Relaying can also play a pivotal role in cases of emergency or natural disasters where infrastructure may be broken down. In these cases vehicles can help deliver critical data to crisis/disaster-management authorities, collect environmental data, and inform emergency vehicles about optimal routes.

VaaR-Infotainment

Infotainment refers to the combination of information with entertainment. With the on-board communication capabilities supporting communication with surrounding mobile agents (e.g., other vehicles, cellular phones, or communication-enabled handheld devices), and providing the possibility for always-on Internet access, a vehicle can be a great source of infotainment.

Some vehicular infotainment services are solely Internet-dependent (e.g., web surfing, email access, video downloads, and online gaming), and others are supported by inter-vehicle communications (e.g., exchanging information and files between vehicles). More detailed examples of these infotainment services can be found in [11]. The entertainment part of the infotainment resource is usually for the benefit of the vehicle’s occupants and is not the focus of this article, which is on the information part.

A vehicle can provide others with information obtained from its own sensors, other vehicles, RSUs, direct access to the Internet, or Adstations. This information may include traffic and environmental conditions, navigational and safety information, parking availability, or commercial advertisements. Many of the vehicular platforms mentioned earlier in the article can be considered examples of VaaR-Infotainment when the utilization of the other vehicular resources is ultimately for the sake of providing information.

As most of the infotainment applications are real-time, such applications face challenges caused mainly by the highly dynamic vehicular topologies. These challenges must be dealt with to support the required QoS levels. The work in [12] presents some of these challenges along with proposed solutions and some issues that still need consideration.

VaaR: Localization

Vehicles can be considered potential resources for locating objects. Through their sensing and communication capabilities, they can recognize and locate objects, and send this
information. For instance, MobEyes can be used to enable vehicles to recognize the license plate numbers, store them, and broadcast representative meta-data. Other mobile agents (e.g., police patrol cars) can retrieve the recognized plate numbers to locate and track lost or stolen vehicles.

Vehicles can be used to locate neighboring vehicles for the sake of estimating the distance to these vehicles or informing them about their positions for accuracy purposes, and so. An example of this positioning ability is the Intel patent Visible Light Communications and Positioning (VLCP) [13] for proposing the use of visible light communication as an inter-vehicle communication technique and introducing a scheme for positioning neighboring vehicles.

Vehicles can also use self-localization techniques to determine their own position, complementing and/or refining GPS information. These self-benefit services are out of this article’s focus.

**VaaR in Action**

In this section we discuss scenarios illustrating some of the various resources a vehicle can provide on the move. The main scenario depicted in Fig. 3 shows an emergency scenario caused by an accident on the road.

Vehicles G and H had a head-on collision. Due to that collision, traffic has stopped moving in the vicinity of the collision. Vehicle D is a truck carrying goods that need to be delivered on time for shipping. The driver of the truck needs to know how severe the situation is. He sends a request using his vehicle’s OBU asking for information about the collision from the neighbors of the involved vehicles. He receives a reply from vehicle A that it can provide live video streaming of the situation. He agrees and starts getting live video streaming from node A. Vehicles A and D are out of communication range of each other. Therefore, they depend on vehicles B and C to work as data relays for their shared content. In this scenario, vehicle A can be considered an example of VaaR-Infotainment, while vehicles B and C can be considered examples of VaaR-Data Relaying.

As a consequence of the collision, vehicle H had a malfunction in its GPS. The driver of vehicle H needs to know its location to call for help. He can depend on the resources of vehicle A to locate his vehicle. In this case, vehicle A can be considered an example of VaaR-Localization as well.

As a direct neighbor of vehicle G, vehicle F has recorded the collision using its on-board camera. It decides to store the recorded video for further reporting. Therefore, vehicle F can be considered an example of VaaR-Data Storage.

Finally, on the other side of the road vehicle E has detected falling rocks using its in-vehicle sensors. It reports this hazard using its communication module to the municipality to take proper action to avoid accidents. This is considered an example of VaaR-Sensing.

**Challenges and Open Issues**

Although VaaR brings a wide range of benefits to various applications, many challenges face its wide penetration and adoption. In this section we highlight some of the prominent challenges and open issues. A summary of the challenges and some potential solutions can be found in Table 1.
Potential solutions

**Challenge** | **Potential solutions**
--- | ---
Privacy | • Applying virtualization and scheduling techniques.  
• Use of personal data vaults.  
• Hiding identities through pseudonymity.
Data quality | • Adopting verification and analysis techniques to build quality reports and history.  
• Computing reputation scores to be considered in selection refinement.  
• Giving feedback to participants about their data quality.
Redundancy | • Can be eliminated by adopting data aggregation and fusion techniques while forming vehicular clusters and chains with representative nodes.  
• Can be avoided with proper participant selection.
Dynamic availability of resources | • Adopting effective resource management techniques for proper task assignment and retrieval.  
• Adopting prediction techniques to anticipate the availability spatiotemporal span.  
• Considering task sharing and handover techniques.
Incentives for offering resources | • Adopting P2P incentive mechanisms.  
• Offering vehicles’ owners some rewards in return.  
• Focus on the encouraging monetary incentives and their corresponding pricing models.
Participant selection | • Proposing efficient recruitment mechanisms that consider the rewards, budget, and data quality.  
• Studying the feasibility of adapting the smartphone recruitment schemes.
Powering up parked vehicles PCs | • Utilizing features of the CAN bus connecting the intra-vehicular resources.  
• Use of scheduling and mobility prediction mechanisms.
Consolidation of different resource providers | • Considering data fusion and information integration techniques to merge data/information from different sources.  
• Considering ongoing research in the area of ‘integration of heterogeneous networks.’

| **Table 1.** VaaR challenges and potential solutions. |

road while electing a leader node to take care of the intra-cluster/chain data aggregation. It is noteworthy that the success of data aggregation depends on the type of road (e.g., highway, in-city urban road, rural road) since it determines the speed of vehicles, and hence the ability to select the cluster/chain leader node. Also, redundancy of the reported data can be avoided by applying proper selection and recruitment techniques that ensure selecting participants with minimal overlapping.

**The Intermittent/Dynamic Availability of Resources Hinders Extended Usage**

Vehicles’ mobility is considered as a plus that allows vehicles to cover wider areas compared to their static counterparts. However, while pooling resources from a vehicle, the vehicle may leave the area of interest or connectivity before reporting/relaying the desired task, or finishing the task in hand. Dynamic availability of resources may also be temporal stemming, for instance, from the high need for resources during rush hours and their idleness during late nights. Effective resource management techniques are needed for proper task assignment and retrieval. A vehicle’s cyber-physical existence should be taken into consideration in pooling/tapping into vehicular resources. This is influenced by the type and speed of roads which, in turn, affect how long a vehicle would be present. Prediction techniques can help in anticipating the spatiotemporal availability span, and hence taking more informed allocation decisions. Task sharing and handover can also be potential solutions of the partial availability.

**Incentives Are Needed to Encourage Owners to Offer Their Vehicular Resources**

The VaaR concept cannot thrive without active user participation, especially if users (vehicle owners) lose their willingness to participate and share resources. Some form of incentive and direct service/reward must be provided in return. Some of the incentive mechanisms proposed for P2P file sharing [14] can be applied for incentivizing vehicular users, especially for those applications that have major commonalities with the P2P paradigm. In general, incentives can be of three types:

1. Willingness to serve the public.
2. Receiving service in return.
3. Receiving monetary returns.

Earlier studies have shown that incentives with monetary values are the most effective. Monetary incentives can be in the form of pecuniary returns, vouchers, or passes. Another incentive for the owners of parked vehicles can be offering them free parking, while their idle resources are being accessed. The value of such incentives can be determined by the data collecting/task distributing party through the use of pricing models that can consider the level of participation and QoI, or through reverse auction techniques that are currently becoming popular in the rewarding models.

**Recruitment Mechanisms Should Be Deployed for Efficient Selection of Participants**

In urban environments, offloading tasks to vehicles to utilize their resources is challenging in terms of participant selection, as there can be many potential participants in an area of interest that may reach hundreds of vehicles in a congested area. These participants cannot all be recruited for a task, as this would result in a higher cost in terms of participants’ rewards. Selecting the right number of potential participants in a cost-effective manner, while providing an acceptable level of service, is an open area. Such recruitment mechanisms must also consider the QoI challenge mentioned earlier. For load balancing and robustness purposes, these mechanisms should also ensure that the same vehicles are not always chosen. A scheme that aims at minimizing the number of recruited participants while achieving a given level of coverage for an area of interest can
be found in [15]. Although the recruitment schemes proposed for utilizing the resources of smartphones cannot be directly applied to vehicles due to the different design considerations, a study of potential adaptation of such schemes is worthwhile.

How to Power up the Resources of Parked Vehicles for Tasking Is a Technical Challenge

Parked vehicles considered for resource utilization should be plugged into a power supply. However, it is not logical to keep their PCs on all the time waiting for potential tasking. Solutions are needed to power these PCs only when required. These solutions can be categorized into “on-demand” and “pre-scheduled” techniques. An on-demand approach [16] requires that ECUs and the in-vehicle PC are connected through the Controller Area Network (CAN) bus working as the main intra-vehicle communication bus. One of the features of CAN-connected nodes is that they can operate in a sleep mode such that while a vehicle is stopped, these nodes consume a minimum amount of battery. The CAN-connected in-vehicle PC of a parked vehicle can be remotely powered up on-demand. However, experiments are needed to test the feasibility of the wake-up/probing techniques. Other techniques that can be used in the second category include deploying scheduling mechanisms to schedule the power up times a priori and sending this schedule whenever vehicles are on. Such techniques may also include assistance from mobility prediction mechanisms to anticipate a vehicle’s daily parking times.

Consolidation of Different Resources Is Expected and Interoperability Should Be Guaranteed

It is expected that vehicles as resources of services will be integrated with other resource providers. For example, VaarSensing may be merged with other sensing resources such as smartphones and sensor networks, with each paradigm being assigned a part of a sensing task based on its availability and capabilities. Such consolidation of resources entails the development of communication, synchronization, and resource management techniques that ensure syntactic and semantic interoperability and seamless handover among the different paradigms. Sensor fusion techniques can be considered to handle the collaborative-sensing example mentioned above. Generally speaking, information fusion/integration techniques should be considered to handle merging information from different sources. Work proposed for the operation of heterogeneous networks (HetNets) is promising [17].

Conclusions

With its diversified on-board resources, an intelligent vehicle can be considered a mobile service provider that can assist in a wide scope of applications and domains. In this article we introduced the concept of Vehicle as a Resource (Vaar) to unveil the potential of an intelligent vehicle on the road or in a parking lot. We showed that a vehicle can be a resource for sensing, data storage, computing, cloud, data relaying, and infotainment, and a means for locating other objects. We also presented demonstrating scenarios, challenges, and open issues related to the adoption of Vaar. With the presented Vaar vision, we anticipate that an intelligent vehicle will lead the information services and the intelligent transportation systems to an era of service revolution.
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