On the Effect of Traffic and Road Conditions on the Drivers’ Behavior: A Statistical Analysis
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Abstract—In the last decade, naturalistic driving studies (NDSs) have given researchers an unprecedented way to study the behavior of drivers through the deployment of, and capturing the data from, on-board vehicle sensors and cameras. The ability to determine the dominant driving risk factors can play an essential role in shaping transportation policies and education programs for drivers. This paper presents a cohort study statistical analysis to determine the risks associated with traffic and road surface conditions, quantified in terms of crash and near crash events. Two risk quantification measures, odds ratio (OR) and relative risk (RR), are utilized to signify the associated risk. For this research we used the 100-CAR data set, with a total of 829 crash and near crash and 19616 baseline events, which are driving events captured randomly in normal driving episodes. In the 100-CAR data set, traffic density is divided into six levels according to the traffic flow condition. Similarly, road surface condition is divided into four categories. To quantify the statistical significance of the results, measures such as the p-value are employed. The results show that icy roads with level-of-service (LOS) A, wet roads with LOS D, and dry roads with LOS D have the highest risk for crashes and near crashes. These results are proven to be of statistical significance.

Index Terms—Naturalistic driving studies (NDSs), driving behavior, driving risk management, data driven applications, intelligent transportation systems (ITS).

I. INTRODUCTION

According to World Health Organization (WHO), more than 1.25 million individuals lose their lives annually due to road crashes, and between 20 and 50 million people suffer from traffic-related injuries. Moreover, road crashes are expected to remain one of the top ten leading causes of fatalities by the year 2030, if no substantial action is taken [1].

As a result, researchers during the last two decades have been developing different research techniques to study the factors that may affect the crash rate. Among the wide range of research approaches, naturalistic driving studies (NDSs) have recently prevailed [2]–[6]. NDSs give researchers the opportunity to study the behavior of drivers and to explore the driving risk associated with many driving-related elements [7]–[9]. By deploying unobtrusive instrumentation (e.g. radar sensors, OBDII dongles, GPS, forward facing and rear-view video cameras) inside vehicles of recruited volunteer drivers, data about the driver’s behavior, the environment, and the vehicle is continuously recorded [2], [3]. The data collected not only gives the chance to study the prevalence of different factors during risky events but also the prevalence of these factors through normal day to day driving episodes, which enables the conduction of statistically sound studies. Results of NDSs are essential tools for transportation policymakers to design safer roads, enact evidence-based driving laws and develop more effective driver education for novice drivers [10]. Moreover, NDSs have also been used to model the behavior of drivers (i.e., tailgating, and lane changing behavior) for predicting and detecting risky events [11] and incorporate these models in self-coaching driving systems [12].

Among the NDSs that were performed, the 100 Car Naturalistic Driving Study (100-CAR NDS) from Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) sponsored by the US National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has become a landmark study with approximately 43,000 hours of recorded data from 241 primary and secondary drivers, using 100 cars [2]. The 100-CAR NDS has a total of 829 crash and near crash events as well as 19616 day to day normal driving recorded events. These recorded events and the associated factors that were present during the events have helped researchers to better understand driver behavior, the causes of crashes, and to develop countermeasures for preventing crashes and near crashes.

Driving risk elements can be divided into three categories. First, driving behavioral factors, for example speeding, sudden braking, distractions, and reckless driving. Second, environmental elements such as road infrastructure, road surface condition, weather, and traffic density. Finally, vehicle-related elements, the vehicles age, and mechanical condition. Although much attention has been dedicated to study the effect of driving behavioral factors on crashes and to quantify the risk associated with each of them, only a few studies were interested in investigating the risk associated with other factors; this is because more than 90% of the crashes are attributed to human error [13]. However, some of these errors can be directly attributed to inconvenient environmental conditions such as traffic density and road surface conditions. The research has shown that the level of driver frustration depends on the level of traffic density [2]. High levels of frustration makes drivers prone to behavioral errors based on how much traffic is present. Similarly, road surface condition can play a significant role in driving behavior errors, depending on the level of...
experience a driver has in dealing with such conditions.

This paper presents a retrospective cohort-study statistical approach to investigate the risk associated with different traffic density levels and road surface condition categories. Generally speaking, retrospective cohort studies represent a research approach in which causes of an experiment outcome are investigated and links between risk factors and outcomes are established, for already gathered data.

Odds ratio (OR) and relative risk (RR) are used to examine the associated risk of each factor. Both crash and near crash events are utilized as risk indicators because of the limited number of crashes in the 100-CAR NDS. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, some of the related work presented in the literature is shown. Section III explains the statistical methodology adopted. In Section IV, the results are presented and discussed. Finally, conclusions are drawn in section V.

II. RELATED WORK

Studying the factors that influence the crash risk is a multidisciplinary field of research that converges at the intersection of behavioral psychology, statistics, transportation engineering, and data science. Throughout the last decade, many notable studies have focused on examining the different elements and driving attributes that may lead to accidents. Authors in [14] collected naturalistic driving data to study the triggering factors that indicate the future occurrence of risky events. They utilized near crash events as a substitute measure for riskiness rather than crashes. The work presented in [15] utilizes the Crash Record Information System (CRIS) database from the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) to determine the main features of crashes that involve pedestrians. A classification and regression tree (CRT) analysis was performed to figure out which factors had the most influence affecting the severity of these crashes. It was concluded that lighting conditions, road class, traffic control, right shoulder width, the involvement of a commercial vehicle, pedestrian age, and the collision manner are the most influencing factors. Authors in [16] utilized the 100-CAR NDS to study the effects of driver distraction on the probability of crashes/near crashes. Driver distraction has been quantified solely on the cumulative off-road glance duration measured by a camera focused on the drivers eyes, and it was found that it is linearly proportional to the risk of crash and near-crash events. Moreover, it was shown that traffic density is a significant moderator to this relationship. Hasan et al. in [17] adopted K-means clustering algorithm to group near crash events according to their driving risk. Three variables, deceleration, braking pressure, and headway time, have been used as risk indicators in these events. Then, an ordered logit regression model has been utilized to study the main contributing factors that affect the driving risk of near crash events. The study was conducted from an NDS collected in Wuhan city in China with a total of 1670 near crash events. The results indicated that road condition, time of day, the day of the week, age and driving experience are significant in risk determination. However, traffic density has been put under only two categories, congested and non-congested and most of the results presented possess marginal statistical significance.

Despite the research efforts mentioned above, to the best of our knowledge, no study has solely investigated the risk associated with traffic density or jointly when combined with different categories of road condition, and with this magnitude of data.

III. METHODOLOGY

A retrospective cohort study approach is followed to determine the association between risk factors (i.e., traffic and surface conditions) and the occurrence of crash and near crash events. A cohort, rather than case-control approach, is utilized since the risk factors have already been pre-assumed.

In this study, two groups of events are defined. The exposure group represents the group of events either normal or safety-critical in which drivers are exposed to the risk factors of interest. The second group is the control group which contains the set of events whether normal or safety-critical in which drivers are not exposed to the risk factors of interest.

A total of 19616 baseline driving events, which are driving events captured randomly in normal driving episodes, are used to reflect the exposure rate of the risk factors during safe driving events. Meanwhile, a total of 829 safety-critical events are employed to determine the exposure rate of these factors during crash and near crash events. The extraction of safety-critical events was performed by Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) after the conclusion of the 100-CAR NDS project.

Analyses are performed to calculate the risk associated with six different traffic density levels (A-F) as well as four road surface conditions categories. The detailed operational definition for each of these categories is listed in tables I and II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic condition</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service A</td>
<td>Drivers are free to pick the desired speed and to maneuver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service B</td>
<td>Relatively small decline in the freedom of speed and maneuverability compared to LOS A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service C</td>
<td>The decline in the level of moving comfort is noticeable. However, it is the zone of stable traffic flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service D</td>
<td>Traffic is dense but stable. Maneuverability and speed comfort level severely declines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service E</td>
<td>Unstable flow with minimal and uniform speeds. Drivers tend to be very frustrated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level-of-service F</td>
<td>Breakdown flow with stop-and-go cyclic waves.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road condition</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>No foreign material (snow, ice, oil, water) on the road in the area of the event.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Road is partially or completely wet in the area of the event.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowy</td>
<td>Snow or slush on the road in the area of the event.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icy</td>
<td>Un-melted ice on the road in the area of the event.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Risk association measures

OR and RR risk association measures have been adopted in this work. Although OR asymptotically approaches RR when the unexposed incidences are much larger than the exposed ones, they both have different statistical interpretations. The OR in the context of this paper refers to the ratio of the odds that a crash/ near crash event will happen given the exposure to a certain traffic and/or road surface condition, to the odds of a crash or near crash event happening in the absence of these exposures. To calculate the odds ratio, four values are determined as shown in Table III. The first value, “a”, is the number of crashes and near crashes in which the subject driver (SV) is exposed to the risk factor. The value “b” refers to the normal driving events in which the SV driver is also exposed to the same risk factor. Conversely the values “c” and “d” represent, respectively, the number of crashes and near crashes and normal driving episodes in which the SV driver is not exposed to the risk factor of interest.

Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash or near crash event happens</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
<th>Not exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crash or near crash event does not happen</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OR is then calculated using the following equation:

\[ OR = \frac{(a/c)}{(b/d)} \] (1)

The value of the OR determines how much the exposure to the risk factor affects the odds of the crashes and near crashes. If the OR possesses a value of 1, the exposure to the risk factor does not influence the odds of the crashes and near crashes. On the other hand, an OR of a value greater than 1 means that the exposure to the risk factor is associated with higher odds of crashes and near crashes. Finally, an OR with a value less than 1 mean reflects lower odds of the crashes and near crashes occurring given the exposure to the risk factor.

To estimate the precision of the OR, its 95% confidence interval (CI) is calculated. Upper and lower 95% CI limits are found in terms of the standard error (SE) of the log odds ratio (LOR). The following equation was adopted to calculate the SE:

\[ SE[\ln(OR)] = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}} \] (2)

The upper and lower 95% CI limits are then calculated according to the following equations:

\[ 95\% \ CI_{Upper} = \exp[\ln(OR) + 1.96 \times SE(\ln(OR))] \] (3)

\[ 95\% \ CI_{Lower} = \exp[\ln(OR) - 1.96 \times SE(\ln(OR))] \] (4)

Several statistical significance tests can be utilized for the OR. The most common is the Fisher’s exact ratio which gives an exact calculation for the p-value. It is expressed mathematically as:

\[ p = \frac{(a+c)(b+d)}{(n_{a+b})} \] (5)

where \( n = a + b + c + d \). In this paper, an approximation has been adopted to calculate the p-value since the sample size is relatively large. Results are considered statistically significant when the p-value possesses a value less than 0.05, marginally significant between 0.05 and 0.1, and not significant otherwise.

Another widely used risk association measure is the RR. When the number of cases (i.e., crashes and near crashes) is large, LR is proven to give more accurate results. RR is the ratio of two probabilities. The first is the probability of having a crash/ near crash event given the exposure, while the latter is the probability of a crash or near crash event given the absence of the exposure. If a crash or a near crash event is denoted by \( C \), and the exposure to the risk factor is denoted by \( E \), then RR can be expressed mathematically as:

\[ RR = \frac{Pr(C \cap E)}{Pr(C \cap E')} = \frac{Pr(C \cap E) \times Pr(E')}{Pr(C \cap E') \times Pr(E)} \] (6)

which can simply be written as:

\[ RR = \frac{a/(a + c)}{b/(b + d)} \] (7)

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Driver frustration and driving behavioral errors can be directly attributed to certain traffic and road surface conditions. In this section, three sets of results are obtained to indicate the risk of being exposed to different levels and categories of traffic and road conditions. For this purpose, both crash and near crash events are used as risk reflectors. All crash and near crash events of the 100-CAR-NDS dataset are included in this study, even those in which the SV driver is at fault. This is
because the goal of this research is to measure the associated risk regardless of who was at fault or responsible for the crash or near crash.

The first set of results presents the association between the exposure to six unique traffic density levels and the crash and near crash rate. The second set of results shows the influence of being exposed to four different road surface condition categories on the crash/near crash rate. The last set studies the joint influence of the exposure to both traffic density levels and road surface conditions simultaneously on having a crash/near crash event.

A. Traffic density

Figure 1 depicts the traffic density forest plot. LOS A, which corresponds to free traffic flow, is associated with a low driving risk with an OR of 0.37 (< 1) and 95% CI ranging from 0.31 to 0.43. Similarly, LOS A RR possesses a value of only 0.54 which confirms its low risk association as shown in table IV. In this case, the very small p-value (< 0.0001) shows that these results are statistically significant. Table IV shows values for the different exposure and control groups variables for each traffic density LOS.

![Traffic Density Forest Plot](image)

As shown in table VI, although 86% of the crashes/near crashes occurred when the road was dry, the OR value is only 0.725 and the RR ≃ 1. This means that crashes and near crashes are more likely to occur in the control group rather than in the exposed group where drivers are exposed to dry roads. This result is considered statistically significant as the p-value in this case is less than 0.05. On the contrary, the exposure to icy and wet roads seems to be associated to high odds of risky events.
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As shown in table VI, although 86% of the crashes/near crashes occurred when the road was dry, the OR value is only 0.725 and the RR ≃ 1. This means that crashes and near crashes are more likely to occur in the control group rather than in the exposed group where drivers are exposed to dry roads. This result is considered statistically significant as the p-value in this case is less than 0.05. On the contrary, the exposure to icy and wet roads seems to be associated to high odds of risky events.
Table VI shows that the risk of being exposed to icy roads is higher by 16.52 times when compared to other road surface categories. Similarly, the odds of having a crash or a near crash event when driving on wet roads is approximately 1.37 times higher than the risk imposed when driving on other roads. Similar to dry roads case, these results are also of statistical significance since the \( p \)-values \(< 0.05 \).

Surprisingly, there was no association between the risk of having a crash/ near crash and driving in snowy roads since the OR and the RR values are less than 1. Perhaps this could be attributed to the cautious attitude of drivers when they drive in snowy environments. The OR in this case does not reach statistical significance, however, it can be said to possess a marginal statistical significance with a \( p \)-value of 0.16.

### C. Traffic density and road surface conditions

In this work, The joint risk association of both traffic density and road surface conditions has also been studied. The goal is to examine the crash or near crash risk for different traffic density levels and road surface categories, happening simultaneously.

Table VII depicts the results for 15 joint cases. Although the results were calculated for all 24 cases, the remaining 9 are not presented here since there were not enough events in either one or more variable fields. As shown in the table, the case
where drivers are exposed to icy roads with LOS A possesses the highest OR and RR values with a $p$-value < 0.0001. To put this finding into perspective, although captured in only 6 baseline events, the exposure to this type of traffic and surface condition has contributed in 5 risky events. That explains the high OR and RR values of 19.86 and 19.74, respectively.

Similar cases that possess high risk associations and are statistically significant are the cases of wet roads & LOS D, dry roads & LOS E, wet roads & LOS D, dry roads & LOS D, wet roads & LOS C and dry roads & LOS C. Among these cases, wet roads & LOS D reaches the highest OR and RR values of 5.38 and 5.31, respectively. Two cases are also found to be associated to driving risk, however, they are marginally significant. These are the cases of icy roads & LOS B and wet roads & LOS E, where the first possesses the highest OR and RR values. The discrepancy between OR and RR values in some cases (e.g. dry roads & LOC C) is due to the relatively large number of exposed cases (large RR probability values). However, they both have values greater than 1 (3.3 and 2.7, respectively). Six of the nineteen reported cases do not reach statistical significance because their 95% CI spans the neutral value of 1 and their $p$-value > 0.1. These cases are: snowy road & LOS A, wet road & LOS A, dry road & LOS B, wet road & LOS B, dry road & LOS F and wet road & LOS F.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a cohort-study statistical analysis to determine the risk associated with different traffic density levels and road surface condition categories. Both crash and near crash events were adopted as risk indicators. For our research, data-sets from the 100-CAR NDS project were utilized. The event video reduced dataset contains the information regarding 829 crash and near crash events, whereas the baseline video reduced data set comprises the information for 19616 baseline events. Baseline events were used to indicate the prevalence of different traffic density and road condition levels during normal driving episodes.

With respect to traffic density, the results show that driving in stable traffic flow but with limited control of speed and maneuverability (LOS D) poses the highest OR and RR values. Considering road condition, the results indicate that driving on icy roads results in the highest associated risk. Contrary to popular belief, driving in snowy environments was identified as a low driving risk. The joint analysis when considering the simultaneous effect of both traffic and different road surface condition levels show that driving on an icy road with stable flow (LOS A) has the highest OR and RR values. The analyses show that all of the aforementioned results are either statistically significant or have marginal statistical significance. Cases such as driving on icy roads with traffic LOS D were disregarded because of the limited number of occurrences, either during baseline, or crash and near crash events. In the future, using larger scale dataset, such as the Strategic Highway Research Program 2 (SHRP2) may provide more insights on the risk associated with such cases.
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